$\triangle$ Accelerated Failure Time model

survival time : X , covariate Z  $Y = \ell nX$   $Y = \mu + \gamma Z + \epsilon \qquad (\epsilon = \sigma W)$   $\triangle \epsilon : \text{unspecifie d (nonparame tric)}$   $\begin{cases} 1.An \text{ attractive alternativ e model to cox model} \\ 2.Direct physical interpretation} \end{cases}$ 

Estimation : By ① rank estimate

(2) Generalized Estimating equation

Note that:  $\gamma$ : + then  $Z \uparrow Y \uparrow$  (help survival) - then  $Z \uparrow Y \downarrow$  (hurt survival)

## various forms of AFT and Cox models

(1) AFT :  $S(x|z) = S_0(x \exp -\gamma^T z)$  $\operatorname{Cox} : \operatorname{S}(x|z) = \left[\operatorname{S}_{0}(x)\right]^{\exp \beta^{T_{z}}}$ (2)  $\overleftrightarrow$  AFT : Y =  $\mu + \gamma^{T}Z + \sigma W$  $\operatorname{Cox} : \ln \left[ -\ln \operatorname{S}(x|z) \right] = \beta^T z + \ln \left[ -\ln \operatorname{S}_0(x) \right]$ (3) AFT :  $h(x|z) = h_0(xe^{-\gamma^2 z}) \exp(-\gamma^T z)$  $\stackrel{\text{tr}}{\approx} \operatorname{Cox} : \mathbf{h}(x|z) = \mathbf{h}_{0}(x) \exp(\beta^{\mathrm{T}} z)$ Cox model : easy to do inference but hard to interpret

## $\triangle$ Clustered survival time

## Breast cancer v.s. mutation of BRCA gene (Ashkenazi Jewish population) risk

 $\begin{cases} (1) \text{ Cluster} \\ (2) \text{ Frailty} \\ (3) \text{ Mixed effect Cox model} \\ h_{ij}(t_{ij}) = h_0(t_{ij}) \cdot w_i \cdot e^{z_{ij}\beta} \\ w \sim \frac{w^{\frac{1}{\theta} - 1}e^{-\frac{w}{\theta}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\theta^{\frac{1}{\theta}}} \quad \text{gamma distribution} \end{cases}$ 

## Competing risk

Multiple events

① Simplistic method

treat other event time as censor

 $\triangle$  However this method is questionable !

This censor is not independent !!

△ Cause-specific hazards and cumulative incidence functions
 K distinct causes of death

one can experience at most one of K causes of death

Cause-specific 
$$\Rightarrow$$
  $F_j(t) = P(T \le t, C = j) = \int_0^t h_j(u) S(u) du$   
CDF  $F_j(\infty) = P(C = j)$ 

• The cause-specific hazard of *j*th cause

$$h_{j}(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \frac{P(t < T < t + \Delta_{t}, C = j | T > t)}{\Delta t}$$
$$\Rightarrow h(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} h_{j}(t)$$

• D ordered event times  $\Rightarrow t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_D$ 

$$\begin{split} \widehat{h}(t_{i}) &= \frac{d_{i}}{n_{i}} \\ \widehat{h_{k}}(t_{i}) &= \frac{d_{ik}}{n_{i}} \\ \widehat{h_{k}}(t) &= \frac{d_{ik}}{n_{i}} \\ \\ \widehat{F}_{k}(t) &= \sum_{t_{i} \leq t} \widehat{S}(t_{i-1}) \widehat{h}_{k}(t_{i}) \\ & \leftarrow \end{split} \end{split}$$

Common way to display: stacked plot

Ex: Prostate cancer data

 $n = 14294 \begin{cases} 0 \ censored \\ 1 \ death \ from \ prostate \ cancer \\ 2 \ death \ from \ other \ cancer \end{cases}$ 

Need install mstate package and use "Cuminc" command

Consider Age 80+ population

• Regression methods for cause-specific hazards

special challenges  $\Rightarrow$  It's difficult to define precisely the hazard function on which the covariate should operate.

simple method (Putter et.al.(2007)) treat other events as censored

vice versa!!

Fine and Gray  
$$\overline{h_k}(t) = -\frac{d \log(1 - F_k(t))}{dt}$$
$$\xrightarrow{h_k}(t|z) = \overline{h}_{0k}(t)e^{z\beta}$$

Use Fine and Gray method  $\Rightarrow$  package "cmprsk" command "crr". Consider  $T_2$  stage patients in prostate cancer data.

• Run R codes to see the example.

Other causes:

• gradepoor risk ratio 0.126 (Fine and gray) vs. 0.281 (Putter et al.)

Prostate cancer :

• gradepoor risk ratio 1.132 vs. 1.22

Recurrent event (ordered event)

① AG (Andersen-Gill)

 $\frac{Y_i(t)\lambda_0(t)\exp(X_i(t)\beta)}{\text{Poisson process}}$ 

- ② WLW (Wei, Lin and Weissfeld)  $Y_{ij}(t)\lambda_{0j}(t)exp(X_i(t)\beta_j)$ jth event
- ③ Conditional model (PWP, Prentice, William & Peterson) similar to AG but effect for events may be difficult.

Ex : One has event on 10,30,42

	Interval	Stratum
AG	(0,10]	1
	(10,30]	1
	(30,42]	1
WLW	(0,10]	1
	(0,30]	2
	(0,42]	3
PWP	(0,10]	1
	(10,30]	2
	(30,42]	3



Ex : Smith has experienced his second event on day 32. Who are the subjects at risk when Smith has his second event ?

AG : All subjects who were under observation on day 32.

- WLW : All subjects who were under observation on day 32, and have not yet had a second event.
- PWP : All subjects who were under observation on day 32, have not yet had a second event , and have experienced a first event.

t	coef	exp(coef)	se(coef)	robustse	Z	Pr(> z )
tx	-0.41836	0.658129	0.304194	0.293599	-1.425	0.154
num	0.11421	1.120987	0.053999	0.051294	2.227	0.026
size	-0.00745	0.992576	0.073207	0.063265	-0.118	0.906
tx:strata(in erval)inter al=2	t v -0.03349	0.967067	0.500317	0.552349	-0.061	0.952
tx:strata(in erval)inter al=3	t v 0.350949	1.420415	0.667693	0.501027	0.7	0.484
tx:strata(in erval)inter al=4	t v 0.622714	1.86398	0.749012	0.591132	1.053	0.292
tx:strata(in erval)inter al=5	t v NA	NA	0	0	NA	NA

Event 1	-0.41836
Recurrent Event 2	-0.4519
Recurrent Event 2	-0.0674
Recurrent Event 2	0.2044

WLW

	coef e	exp(coef)	se(coef)	robustse z	Pi	:(> z )
tx	-0.44654	0.63984	0.30522	0.29072	-1.536	0.12454
num	0.15686	1.16983	0.05244	0.05089	3.082	0.00205 **
size	0.01421	1.01431	0.07029	0.0654	0.217	0.82801
tx:strata(in erval)interv al=2	t v 0.13506	1.14461	0.49823	0.4969	0.272	0.78577
tx:strata(in erval)interv al=3	t v 0.44582	1.56177	0.56088	0.60339	0.739	0.45999
tx:strata(in erval)interv al=4	t v 0.50452	1.65619	0.65574	0.649	0.777	0.43693
tx:strata(in erval)interv al=5	t v NA I	NA	0	0 N.	A N	А

Event 1	-0.4465
Recurrent Event 2	-0.3115
Recurrent Event 2	-0.0001
Recurrent Event 2	0.0987